42

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 4743/2007

Petitioner		Lahni Bai, d/o late Shri Mahru Dhangar, aged about 69 years, occupation Service, r/o House No.03/129, Raja Talab, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G)
		Versus
RESPONDENT	1	State of Chhattisgarh, through Principal Secretary Public Works Department, Mantralaya, D.K. Hospital Bhawan, Raipur (C.G)
	2.	Executive Engineer, P.W.D., Raipur II, Distt. Raipur (C.G)
	3.	Sub-Divisional Officer, P.W.D., Sub-Division No.1, Raipur (C.G)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Appearance:

Shri Yogesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Ajay Dwivedi, P.L. for the State/ respondents on advance copy.

ORAL ORDER
(17.8.2007)

Sunil Kumar Sinha, J,

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are similar to that of W.P.No.4135/2004 (M.A. HaKim –vs- state of M.P [Now C.G] and others), which has already been disposed off by order dated 28.8.2007. Against the order passed in the said case, one S.L.P. was filed in Supreme Court which was also dismissed. He further submits that thereafter, a ~~revision~~ review petition was also filed which was also dismissed. Thus the case is squarely covered by the said decision.

Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer, appearing for the State submits that it is not in dispute that this matter is covered by the decision of M.A.Hakim's case (supra) and this petition can be

disposed off in the terms of the order passed in M.A. Hakim's case.

In view of the above joint statement made at the bar, this petition is disposed off in terms of the order passed in W.P.No. 4135/2004 (M.A. Haqim –vs- state of M.P [Now Chhattisgarh] and others, which was decided on 28.8.2006 (Annexure P-6). No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Rao